IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

TUESDAY, THE 14TH AUGUST 2007 / 23RD SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 24594 of 2007(C)

PETITIONERS:

---

1. PARENT TEACHER ASSOCIATION,
   POMPEI ST.MARY'S VOCATIONAL HIGHER
   SECONDARY SCHOOL, KATTOOR,
   THRISSUR DISTRICT, REPRESENTED BY ITS
   PRESIDENT VINCENT CHETTILAPPILLY

2. SRI.RAMACHANDRAN, MEMBER
   PARENT TEACHER ASSOCIATION
   POMPI ST.MARY'S VOCATIONAL HIGHER SECONDARY
   SCHOOL -DO- -DO-

BY ADV. SRI.K.RAMACHANDRAN
SMT.A.R.DIVYA

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. DIRECTOR OF VOCATIONAL HIGHER
   SECONDARY SCHOOL,
   DIRECTORATE OF VOCATIONAL HIGHER SECONDARY
   EDUCATION, HOUSING BOARD BUILDING,
   SANTHI NAGAR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

2. THE MANAGER,
   POMPEI ST.MARY'S VOCATIONAL HIGHER
   SECONDARY SCHOOL, KATTOOR, THRISSUR DISTRICT
   REPRESENTED BY ITS PRESIDENT
   VINCENT CHETTILAPPILLY

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.M.R.SREELATHA

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 14/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

A.K.BASHEER, J.

W.P.(C)No.24594 OF 2007

Dated this the 14th day of August, 2007

JUDGMENT

Petitioner no.1 is the President of the Parent Teacher Association of Pompei St.Mary's Vocational Higher Secondary School at Kattoor in Thrissur district. Petitioner no.2 is stated to be a member of the Association. Their grievance is that the post of HSA (English) in the school is being left unfilled by the management for no apparent or justifiable reasons. The Director of Vocational Higher Secondary Education is also not taking any action to redress the grievance of the petitioners and the student community at large.

2. Petitioners have highlighted several aspects of the issue in the writ petition to which I do not propose to refer at this stage, in view of the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners at the Bar. He submits that petitioners have preferred Ext.P2 representation before respondent no.1 requesting him to take appropriate remedial measures in the matter. However, the grievance of the petitioners is that the manager done precious little, in spite of several requests made to him.

3. Having regard to the peculiar facts and circumstances, I am satisfied that the writ petition can be disposed of with the following directions:

(a) Respondent no.1 shall consider and pass orders on Ext.P2 strictly on its merit and in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

(b) Respondent no.1 shall ensure that an authorized representative of the petitioners and the manager of the school are afforded sufficient opportunity to be heard before a decision is taken.

(c) Petitioners shall produce a copy of the writ petition along with a certified copy of the judgment before respondent no.1 for compliance.

(d) Petitioners shall also send copies of the above documents to respondent no.2 by registered post for information.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

A.K.BASHEER, JUDGE

jes